UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/512,004	05/25/2005	Socrates Tzartos	593.1.003	2323	
Allen R Kipnes	7590 12/28/200	EXAMINER			
Watov & Kipne PO Box 247		WANG, CHANG YU			
Princeton Junction, NJ 08550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1649		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/28/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/512,004	TZARTOS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LXUIIIICI	Artonic	

	CHANG-YU WANG	1649	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
 (c) \overline{\text{They are not deemed to place the application in betiened: appeal; and/or } (d) \text{They present additional claims without canceling a content of the content of the			he issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 11,14-16,18-26,36 and 42.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>28-35,37 and 41</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been consideration. 		•	
because: See Continuation Sheet.			
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>12/07/09</u>	9	
/C.Y. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 1649	/Christine J Saoud/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1647	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: a) The claims have been amended so the scope of the invention is changed, and the claims encompass new limitations, which would raise potential new grounds of rejection. So further search and/or consideration is required. Thus, the amendment does not simplify the issues of the rejections and further does not place the application in better condition for appeal or allowance. b) Applicant recites the new limitation of "the recombinant N-terminal extraceullar domains are at least amino acids in length" in claims 11 and 36, which raises the issue of new matter because only the limitation "more than 70 amino acids in length" is disclosed within the specification. Thus, the amendment does not simplify the issues of the rejections and further does not place the application in better condition for appeal or allowance.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are insufficient to overcome the rejections under 112-2nd paragraph and 112-1st, lack of written description and the rejection under 103(a). The rejections are maintained for the reasons made of record in the office action mailed 8/6/09 as directed to the previously presented claim limitations. Applicant's amendment filed on 12/07/09 has not been entered. Thus, the arguments directed to claim amendments not entered are currently moot.

The IDS submitted on 12/7/09 is not entered because it fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d). It lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP 609.04-(b)-III & 37 CFR 1.97 for proper submission of IDS after final.

/CYW/ 12/14/09